arXiv:1406.0992v3 [math.DS] 15 Nov 2014

Estimates for principal Lyapunov exponents: A survey

Janusz Mierczyński
Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science
Wrocław University of Technology

Abstract

This is a survey of known results on estimating the principal Lyapunov exponent of a time-dependent linear differential equation possessing some monotonicity properties. Equations considered are mainly strongly cooperative systems of ordinary differential equations and parabolic partial differential equations of second order. The estimates are given either in terms of the principal (dominant) eigenvalue of some derived time-independent equation or in terms of the parameters of the equation itself. Extensions to other differential equations are considered. Possible directions of further research are hinted.

Part I

Introduction

It is well known that for *autonomous* linear systems of ordinary differential equations (ODEs)

$$\frac{du}{dt} = Cu, \tag{I.1}$$

where C is a real N by N matrix, as well as for *autonomous* linear problems for parabolic second order partial differential equations (PDEs)

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + c(x)u, & x \in D \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{I.2}$$

where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary ∂D, $\Delta = \partial^2/\partial_1^2 + \ldots + \partial^2/\partial_n^2$ is the Laplacian and $c\colon \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ is sufficiently regular, asymptotic behavior of solutions to (I.1), respectively to (I.2), is fully determined by the spectrum of the matrix C, respectively by the spectrum of the elliptic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \Delta u + c(x)u, & x \in D \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial D. \end{cases} \tag{I.3}$$

More precisely, the spectrum of the solution operator at $t > 0$ equals,

- in the case of (I.1), the exponent of the spectrum of tC;
- in the case of (I.2), the exponent of t times the spectrum of the problem (I.3), plus 0.

Indeed, the above property, called the Spectral Mapping Theorem, holds for a wide class of semigroups of linear operators (see, e.g., [12]).

For a system of *nonlinear autonomous* ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = f(z), \tag{I.4}$$

where $f = (f_1, \dots, f_N)\colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a C^1 vector field such that $f(0) = 0$, the linear part of the solution operator around 0 equals precisely the solution operator of the linearization of f at 0, that is, e^{tC}, where

$$C = \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_j}(0)\right]_{i,j=1}^{N}.$$

Similarly, in the case of *nonlinear autonomous* parabolic PDE

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial z}{\partial t} = \Delta z + f(x,z), & x \in D, \\ z(t,x) = 0, & x \in \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{I.5}$$

where $f\colon \overline{D} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a sufficiently smooth function such that $f(x,0) = 0$, the linear part of the solution operator of (I.5) around 0 is precisely the solution operator of the linearization of (I.5) at 0, that is, of the problem (I.1) with

$$c(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(x,0), \quad x \in \overline{D}.$$

Usually the above two steps are combined as the Principle of Linearized Stability, stating that if all eigenvalues of the linearization of (I.4) or of (I.5) at the trivial solution have negative real parts then the trivial solution is (exponentially) asymptotically stable, and if there is an eigenvalue with positive real part then the trivial solution is unstable.

There arises a question what happens in the case when the original nonlinear differential equation is nonautonomous, or even when it is autonomous but its solution whose (in)stability we are investigating is not stationary. The linear part (derivative) of the solution operator around that reference solution is given by the solution of the *nonautonomous* linear equation being the linearization of the original equation at the reference solution.

In order not to go into unnecessary generality, consider the trivial solution of a periodic (with period $T > 0$) system of ODEs

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = f(t,z), \tag{I.6}$$

where $f(t+T,z) = f(z)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $f(t,0) = 0$ for all t.

After linearization we obtain

$$\frac{du}{dt} = C(t)u, \tag{I.7}$$

where

$$C(t) = \Big[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_j}(t,0)\Big]_{i,j=1}^{N}. \tag{I.8}$$

Let $U(t;s)$ stand for the transition matrix of (I.7): $U(t;s)u_0$ is the value at time t of the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{du}{dt} = C(t)u, \\ u(s) = u_0. \end{cases} \tag{I.9}$$

The family $\{U(t;s)\}$ satisfies the following equalities

$$U(t;r) = U(t;s)U(s;r) \quad \text{for any } r,s,t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$U(t+T;s+T) = U(t;s) \quad \text{for any } s,t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Each $t > 0$ can be written as $kT + \theta$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\theta \in [0,T)$, so we have $U(t;0) = U(\theta;0)U(T;0)^k$. Consequently, in order to investigate asymptotic behavior of solutions of (I.7) it suffices to investigate the iterates of $U(T;0)$. The Principle of Linearized Stability works for time-periodic systems of ODEs, too: if the spectral radius of $U(T;0)$ is smaller than one then the trivial solution of (I.6) is (exponentially) asymptotically stable, and if the spectral radius of $U(T;0)$ is larger than one then the trivial solution of (I.6) is unstable.

Now it becomes clear that it would be good to obtain estimates of the spectrum of the matrix $U(T;0)$ in terms of (perhaps the spectra of) its "generators," that is, of the matrices $C(t)$, $t \in [0,T]$. However, there is a caveat: whereas in the autonomous case for each $t > 0$ there is the relation

$$U(t;0) = \exp(tC),$$

in the T-periodic case, for $N > 1$ the relation

$$U(T;0) = \exp\left(\int_0^T C(t)\,dt\right)$$

need not hold (cf. [22]).

In the light of the above one is hardly surprised to learn that numerous examples are known of ODE systems for which all eigenvalues of $C(t)$ have negative real parts but the spectral radius of $U(T;0)$ is greater than one, as well as ODE systems for which some eigenvalues of $C(t)$ have positive real parts but the spectral radius of $U(T;0)$ is smaller than one (see a nice paper [23]).

It is the purpose of the present paper to give a (rather incomplete) survey of what is known regarding (upper and lower) estimates of the spectral radius of the solution operator for some special cases of (systems of) linear time-periodic differential equations. The unifying feature of all the equations considered here is that their solution operators have some (strong) order-preserving property. For such an equation there exists a unique (up

to multiplication by positive scalars) positive solution, $v(t)$, whose directions attract, as $t \to \infty$, the directions of any other positive solution. The logarithmic growth rate of $v(t)$ (called the *principal Lyapunov exponent*) equals, firstly, the logarithmic growth rate of the solution operator, and, secondly, the logarithmic growth rate of any positive solution.

Whereas in many applications to physical sciences or engineering stability (and, consequently, an **upper** estimate of the spectrum) is looked for, the situation in biological sciences is frequently different. Let us look at some such applications. We restrict ourselves to time-periodic ODE systems

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = f(t, z)$$

that are *strongly cooperative*, that is, $\partial f_i/\partial z_j > 0$ for $i \neq j$. Moreover, assume that $f(t, 0) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Systems of the above form appear when modeling populations in which individuals can transit between several states (for instance, bacterial populations can switch between dormant and active states, see [25]), or populations in which individuals can move between discrete patches (for discrete-time models, see [40]). If $z_i(t)$ measures the population size in state i (or in patch i), strong cooperativity is a natural assumption (whereas the mortality and growth rates in state i are reflected in the derivative $\partial f_i/\partial z_i$). In many cases we are interested in the permanence of the population, which mathematically means that the zero solution should be in some sense repelling. The positivity of the principal Lyapunov exponent of the linearization is a natural sufficient condition for that. Therefore finding viable **lower** estimates of the principal Lyapunov exponent is of immense interest.

The paper is organized as follows. In Part II we give a survey of results on strongly cooperative linear systems of ODEs, and in Part III we consider linear second-order PDEs of parabolic type. We strive to highlight symmetry in both cases.

In Part IV generalizations are mentioned to almost-periodic, general nonautonomous, and random systems.

Part V deals with extensions to other systems: systems of linear ODEs that are only cooperative, strongly cooperative systems of reaction–diffusion equations, general parabolic PDEs of second order, nonlocal dispersal equations, and, finally, cooperative systems of delay differential equations.

Part VI is devoted to discrete-time systems, more precisely to random systems of positive matrices. Only a couple of results are mentioned, and in the context of their continuous-time analogs.

The majority of theorems presented (or at least alluded to) in the present paper give bounds on the principal Lyapunov exponent in terms of the dominant (or principal) eigenvalues for some time-independent system derived from the original one, either by taking minimum or maximum (for example, Proposition II.4) or by taking time-average (for example, Theorem III.7).

Some results, such as Theorem II.6 or a Faber–Krahn type inequality in Subsection V.3.3, are different in the sense that they provide estimates

of the principal Lyapunov exponent directly in terms of the parameters of the system.

Regarding proofs, usually hints or indications are given only, with references to existing papers. In some instances a fairly complete proof is given, as is the case with Theorem II.9, which is a specialization of a much more general (and much more difficult to prove) result.

Throughout the paper, I stands for the identity mapping (operator) or the identity matrix, depending on the context. For a matrix C, $C^\top$ denotes its transpose.

Part II
Cooperative Systems of ODEs

In Part II, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product, and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm, in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

II.1 Preliminaries

II.1.1 Preliminaries: ODEs

For a system of linear ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{du}{dt} = C(t)u, \tag{II.1}$$

where $C\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ is a continuous and **time-periodic** (with period T) matrix function, denote by $U(t;s)u_0$ ($s,t \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$) the value at time t of the solution of (II.1) satisfying the initial condition $U(s;s)u_0 = u_0$. $U(t;s)$ is called the *transition matrix* (*Cauchy matrix*, *propagator*).

The family $\{U(t;s)\}_{s,t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of N by N matrices satisfies the following equalities:

$$U(t;r) = U(t;s)U(s;r),$$

and

$$U(t+T;s+T) = U(t;s).$$

We call $U(T;0)$ the *monodromy matrix* of (II.1) (in the context of nonlinear systems we usually speak of the *Poincaré map*, or *period map*).

II.1.2 Preliminaries: Perron Theorem

We introduce the following notation:

$$\mathbb{R}^N_+ := \{\, u \in \mathbb{R}^N : u_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i = 1,2,\dots,N \,\},$$
$$\mathbb{R}^N_{++} := \{\, u \in \mathbb{R}^N : u_i > 0 \text{ for all } i = 1,2,\dots,N \,\}.$$

Vectors in $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ (resp. in $\mathbb{R}^N_{++}$) will be called *nonnegative* (resp. *positive*). Similarly, matrices with all entries nonnegative (resp. positive) will be referred to as *nonnegative* (resp. *positive*) *matrices*.

We recall the celebrated theorem of Perron (sometimes called the Perron–Frobenius theorem; see, e.g., [5, Theorem (1.4)], or [41, Theorem 1.1]).

Theorem II.1 (Perron(–Frobenius))**.** *The spectral radius of a positive N by N matrix C has the following properties:*

(i) *it is positive, and is a simple eigenvalue;*

(ii) *an eigenvector pertaining to it can be chosen positive;*

(iii) *all the remaining eigenvalues of C have moduli smaller than the spectral radius;*

(iv) *eigenvectors pertaining to the remaining eigenvalues do not belong to $\mathbb{R}^N_+$.*

By $\mathcal{M}_N$ we denote the set of all N by N matrices with positive off-diagonal entries. Since for $C \in \mathcal{M}_N$ its exponential e^{tC} is, for all $t > 0$, a positive matrix, a direct application of the Spectral Mapping Theorem for matrices yields the following.

Theorem II.2. *For $C \in \mathcal{M}_N$, its eigenvalue with the largest real part* (the dominant eigenvalue) *has the following properties:*

(i) *it is real and simple;*

(ii) *an eigenvector pertaining to it can be chosen positive;*

(iii) *all the remaining eigenvalues of C have real parts smaller than the dominant eigenvalue;*

(iv) *eigenvectors pertaining to the remaining eigenvalues do not belong to $\mathbb{R}^N_+$.*

We will denote the dominant eigenvalue of $C \in \mathcal{M}_N$ by $\lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(C)$.

II.2 Principal Lyapunov Exponent for Strongly Cooperative Systems of ODEs

We call a linear time-periodic system of ODEs

$$\frac{du}{dt} = C(t)u \tag{II.2}$$

strongly cooperative if for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix $C(t)$ is in $\mathcal{M}_N$.

It follows from a theorem for quasimonotone systems of (not necessarily linear) ODEs due to Müller and Kamke (see, e.g., [46, Chapter II], or [18, Chapter 3]) that the monodromy matrix $U(T;0)$ for a strongly cooperative (II.2) is positive.

A solution $u\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^N$ of (II.2) is called *positive* if $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N_{++}$ for all $t > 0$. A necessary and sufficient condition for a solution of strongly cooperative (II.2) to be positive is that $u(0) \in \mathbb{R}^N_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

A solution $u\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is called *globally positive* if $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N_{++}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem II.3. *For a linear T-periodic strongly cooperative system* (II.2) *there exist and are uniquely determined: a number $\rho > 0$, and a globally positive solution $v(t)$ of* (II.2) *such that*

(i) $v(T) = \rho v(0)$;

(ii) $\|v(0)\| = 1$.

Consequently, the logarithmic growth rate *of $v(t)$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t}\ln\|v(t)\|$, exists and is equal to $\frac{1}{T}\ln\rho$. Moreover,*

(iii)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|U(t;0)\|}{t} = \frac{\ln\rho}{T};$$

(iv) *for any positive solution $u(t)$ of* (II.2) *there holds*
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|u(t)\|}{t} = \frac{\ln\rho}{T}.$$

In the above theorem as $v(t)$ we take the solution of (II.2) passing at time zero through the normalized positive eigenvector v of the monodromy matrix $U(T;0)$, and $\rho > 0$ is just the spectral radius of $U(T;0)$. Part (iii) is a quite straightforward consequence of Theorem II.1. Part (iv) as well as the uniqueness (up to multiplication by positive scalars) of the globally positive solution $v(t)$ also follow from Theorem II.1, but their proof requires more effort.

The number
$$\Lambda := \frac{\ln\rho}{T},$$
appearing in the statement of Theorem II.3 is called the *principal Lyapunov exponent* (sometimes *principal Floquet exponent*) of (II.2).

A reader acquainted with the Floquet theory of periodic linear ODEs (see, e.g., [7, Section 2.4], or [14, Section 2.2]) will notice that ρ is the characteristic multiplier of (II.2) with the largest modulus and Λ is the Floquet exponent with the largest real part (it is true that the imaginary parts of Floquet exponents are determined up to addition of an integer multiple of 2π, but in our case we can put the imaginary part to be equal to zero).

We gather now a couple of formulas that will be useful in obtaining estimates of the principal Lyapunov exponent.

A simple application of the Chain Rule gives that
$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \frac{\langle C(t)v(t), v(t)\rangle}{\|v(t)\|^2}\,dt. \tag{II.3}$$

It is easy to see that the function $w\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$, where $w(t) := v(t)/\|v(t)\|$, is the solution of the system
$$\frac{du}{dt} = (C(t) - \kappa(t)I)u$$
satisfying $w(0) = v$, where $\kappa(\cdot)$ is the integrand in (II.3).

II.3 Principal Lyapunov Exponents for ODE Systems: "Trivial" Estimate

For a linear T-periodic strongly cooperative system (II.2), denote by $C_{\min}$ (resp. $C_{\max}$) the matrix whose (i,j)-entry equals the minimum (resp. maximum) of $c_{ij}(t)$ over $t \in [0,T]$.

Proposition II.4. *For a linear T-periodic strongly cooperative system* (II.2) *there holds*

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(C_{\min}) \le \Lambda \le \lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(C_{\max}).$$

Indication of proof. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there holds

$$C_{\min} v(t) \le v'(t) \le C_{\max} v(t),$$

where the inequalities are understood componentwise. It follows by standard comparison theorems for quasimonotone systems of ordinary differential inequalities (see, e.g., [46, Chapter II]) that

$$\exp(tC_{\min})v(0) \le v(t) \le \exp(tC_{\max})v(0), \quad t > 0.$$

Since the logarithmic growth rate of a positive solution of $dv/dt = C_{\min}v$ equals $\lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(C_{\min})$ (see Theorem II.3(iv)) and an analogous property holds for $dv/dt = C_{\max}v$, the statement follows. □

II.4 Principal Lyapunov Exponents for ODE Systems: Estimates from Above

For an $N \times N$ real matrix C denote by C^{S} its *symmetrization*:

$$C^{\mathrm{S}} := \frac{C + C^{\top}}{2}.$$

If C is in $\mathcal{M}_N$ then C^{S} is a symmetric matrix in $\mathcal{M}_N$. For any $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ there holds

$$\langle Cu, u\rangle = \langle \tfrac{1}{2}Cu, u\rangle + \langle u, \tfrac{1}{2}Cu\rangle = \langle C^{\mathrm{S}}u, u\rangle \le \lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(C^{\mathrm{S}})\,\|u\|^2. \qquad \text{(II.4)}$$

By substituting $v(t)$ for u in (II.4) we obtain from (II.3) the following.

Proposition II.5. *For a linear T-periodic strongly cooperative system* (II.2) *there holds*

$$\Lambda \le \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(C^{\mathrm{S}}(t))\,dt.$$

We should mention here that the inequalities on which the proof of Proposition II.5 is based appeared first (for general $C(t)$) in [47].

We end by observing that by an inequality due to Bendixson (see [26, III.1.2.1]), for $C \in \mathcal{M}_N$ one has $\lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(C) \le \lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(C^{\mathrm{S}})$, hence we would rather not hope, in general, to obtain upper estimates of Λ in terms of the dominant eigenvalues of $C(t)$.

II.5 Principal Lyapunov Exponents for ODE Systems: Estimates from Below

In the present section we give a survey of known results on **lower** estimates of the principal Lyapunov exponent for strongly cooperative systems of linear ODEs.

II.5.1 Estimates in Terms of the Entries of a Matrix

For **time-independent** matrices with positive (or, more general, nonnegative) off-diagonal entries there are plenty of results giving lower estimates of their dominant eigenvalues in terms of elementary functions of the entries of the matrix (see, e.g., [26, pp. 152–158]). It is unclear to the author, however, how the existing proofs of those estimates could carry over to strongly cooperative nonautonomous linear systems of ODEs.

Regarding the latter, to the author's knowledge the only result known so far is contained in his paper [28] (but see a discrete-time analog in [36]). The idea is to find a homogeneous polynomial V of the coordinates $u_1, \dots, u_N$ of u, taking positive values on $\mathbb{R}^N_{++}$, with the property that

- there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\|u\| \geq \alpha V(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^N_{++};$$

- there is a continuous function $\beta\colon \mathcal{M}_N \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $C \in \mathcal{M}_N$ the inequality

$$\langle \nabla V(u), Cu \rangle \geq \beta(C)\, V(u)$$

holds for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N_{++}$.

Theorem II.6. *For a linear T-periodic strongly cooperative system* (II.2) *there holds*

(i)
$$\Lambda \geq \frac{1}{NT} \int_0^T \Big(\operatorname{tr} C(t) + 2 \sum_{j<k} \sqrt{c_{jk}(t) c_{kj}(t)} \Big)\, dt.$$

(ii)
$$\Lambda \geq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Big(\min_i \sum_j c_{ij}(t) \Big)\, dt.$$

(iii)
$$\Lambda \geq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Big(\min_j \sum_i c_{ij}(t) \Big)\, dt.$$

Indication of proof. (i) follows by applying the function $V(u) = u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_N$, (ii) follows by applying the function $V(u) = u_1 + \ldots + u_N$, whereas (iii) is just a modification of (ii) to the adjoint system. □

A time-independent version of (i) (with a different proof, and for non-negative matrices) appeared as Corollary 3 in [24], and time-independent versions of (ii) and (ii) are classical Frobenius estimates ([26, III.3.1.1]).

Remark II.7. Theorem II.6 cannot be reduced to applying those known estimates for matrices. Indeed, assume for simplicity that $C(t)$ is, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, a symmetric matrix. Applying [24, Corollary 3] to $C(t)$ for each individual $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{princ}}(C(t)) \geq \frac{1}{N}\Big(\operatorname{tr} C(t) + 2\sum_{j<k} c_{jk}(t)\Big),$$

which is in no conceivable relation with

$$\Lambda \geq \frac{1}{NT}\int_0^T \Big(\operatorname{tr} C(t) + 2\sum_{j<k} c_{jk}(t)\Big)\, dt.$$

Problem II.8. For each estimate of the dominant eigenvalue of a matrix in $\mathcal{M}_N$ given in terms of its entries find a proof by means of a suitably chosen function V (cf. also Problem VI.2).

II.5.2 Estimates via Averaging

In the present subsection we formulate a result giving an estimate of the principal eigenvalue in terms of the dominant eigenvalue of the time-averaged equation. As its proof employs only elementary means, we give it here. It is a specialization of a (much more general) result contained in [30].

Throughout the present subsection we assume that $C\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{M}_N$ is continuous and T-periodic and, moreover, the off-diagonal entries are independent of time. We denote by $\overline{C} = [\overline{c}_{ij}]$ the time average of C:

$$\overline{c}_{ii} := \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T c_{ii}(t)\, dt, \qquad \overline{c}_{ij} := c_{ij} \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Theorem II.9. *For a linear T-periodic strongly cooperative system (II.2) with off-diagonal entries independent of time we have*

$$\Lambda \geq \lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(\overline{C}).$$

Proof. Recall that $w(\cdot) = (w_1(\cdot), \dots, w_N(\cdot))$ denotes the (T-periodic) solution of the system

$$\frac{du}{dt} = C(t)u - \kappa(t)u \tag{II.5}$$

satisfying the initial condition $w(0) = v$, where $\kappa(t) = \langle C(t)v(t), v(t)\rangle / \|v(t)\|^2$.

For any $i \neq j$ application of Jensen's inequality to the function $w_j(\cdot)/w_i(\cdot)$ on the interval $[0, T]$ gives that

$$\exp\Big(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \ln\frac{w_j(t)}{w_i(t)}\, dt\Big) \leq \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \frac{w_j(t)}{w_i(t)}\, dt. \tag{II.6}$$

Denote

$$\hat{w}_i := \exp\Big(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \ln w_i(t)\,dt\Big),$$

and similarly for $\hat{w}_j$. We can write (II.6) in the form:

$$\frac{\hat{w}_j}{\hat{w}_i} \le \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \frac{w_j(t)}{w_i(t)}\,dt,$$

from which it follows that, for each i,

$$\frac{1}{\hat{w}_i}\sum_{j\neq i} c_{ij}\hat{w}_j \le \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big(\frac{1}{w_i(t)}\sum_{j\neq i} c_{ij}w_j(t)\Big)\,dt.$$

As $w(\cdot)$ is a solution of (II.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{v_i(t)}\sum_{j\neq i} c_{ij}v_j(t) = \frac{v_i'(t)}{v_i(t)} - (c_{ii}(t) - \kappa(t)).$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{j\neq i} c_{ij}\hat{w}_j \le \Big(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \frac{w_i'(t)}{w_i(t)}\,dt + \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \big(c_{ii}(t)-\kappa(t)\big)\,dt\Big)\hat{w}_i.$$

Since $w_i(T) = w_i(0)$, the first summand on the right-hand side reduces to zero, so we obtain

$$\sum_{j\neq i} c_{ij}\hat{w}_j - \Big(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \big(c_{ii}(t)-\kappa(t)\big)\,dt\Big)\hat{w}_i \le 0, \tag{II.7}$$

that is, via (II.3),

$$(\overline{C} - \Lambda I)\hat{w} \le 0,$$

where $\hat{w}$ is a positive vector. By [5, Theorem (1.11) on p. 28], the dominant eigenvalue of $\overline{C} - \Lambda I$ is nonpositive, that is, $\Lambda \ge \lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(\overline{C})$. □

Part III
Parabolic PDEs of Second Order

Throughout the whole Part III, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain, with boundary ∂D of class C^3. By $\overline{D}$ we denote the closure of D, $\overline{D} = D \cup \partial D$.

$L_2(D)$ stands for the Hilbert space of square-summable **real** functions on D, with the standard inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$.

III.1 Preliminaries

III.1.1 Preliminaries: Elliptic PDEs

In the present subsection we collect some properties of elliptic second order operators of the form $\Delta + c(\cdot)I$ endowed with the Dirichlet boundary conditions, where Δ is the Laplacian, $\Delta = \partial^2/\partial x_1^2 + \ldots + \partial^2/\partial x_n^2$, and c belongs to $L_\infty(D)$. Such operators are sometimes called *Schrödinger operators* (on a bounded domain).

By an *eigenvalue* of the elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + c(x)u = 0, & x \in D, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{III.1}$$

we understand a complex number λ such that there exists a nontrivial (that is, not equal identically to zero) solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + c(x)u = \lambda u, & x \in D, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial D. \end{cases}$$

Such a nontrivial solution is called an *eigenfunction* of (III.1) corresponding to the eigenvalue λ. It should be mentioned here that in the literature on PDEs, calculus of variations, etc., by an eigenvalue of (III.1) a complex number λ is understood such that there exists a nontrivial solution of $\Delta u + (c(x) + \lambda)u = 0$ (plus boundary condition).

It is well known (see, e.g., the classical book [9]) that all eigenvalues of (III.1) are real, simple and have $-\infty$ as their unique accumulation point. Moreover, an eigenfunction corresponding to the largest eigenvalue (the *principal eigenvalue* of (III.1)) can be chosen to take positive values on D. We will denote the principal eigenvalue of the problem (III.1) by $\lambda_{\mathrm{princ}}(\Delta + c(\cdot)I)$.

Let $H_0^1(D)$ stand for the Sobolev space of $L_2(D)$ functions whose (distributional) derivatives are in $L_2(D)$ and whose trace on the boundary ∂D is zero (for definitions see, e.g., [13]). The well-known variational characterization of the principal eigenvalue of the Schrödinger operator gives us the following.

Proposition III.1. *For* (III.1) *we have*

$$\int_D \left(-\|\nabla u(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 + c(x)u^2(x)\right)\, dx \le \lambda_{\mathrm{princ}}(\Delta + c(\cdot)I) \int_D u^2(x)\, dx, \quad \forall\, u \in H_0^1(D),$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ *denotes the Euclidean norm on* $\mathbb{R}^n$*, and the equality holds if and only if* $u \equiv 0$ *or* u *is a principal eigenfunction.*

III.1.2 Preliminaries: Time-Periodic Parabolic PDEs

Consider a linear parabolic partial differential equation (PDE) of second order

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + c(t,x)u, & x \in D \\ u(t,x) = 0, & x \in \partial D \end{cases} \tag{III.2}$$

where $c\colon \mathbb{R}\times\overline{D}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a C^3 function, **time-periodic** in t with period T.

Standard theorems on existence and uniqueness of solutions of linear parabolic PDEs (see, e.g., [16]) state that for any initial moment $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and any initial value u_0, if u_0 has second derivatives that are Hölder continuous on $\overline{D}$ and satisfies the boundary condition then there exists a unique function $u(t,x;s,u_0)$, $t\geq s$, $x\in\overline{D}$, such that

(S1) the function $\big[\,[s,\infty)\times\overline{D}\ni(t,x)\mapsto u(t,x;s,u_0)\in\mathbb{R}\,\big]$ itself, its first and second derivatives in x and its first derivative in t are Hölder continuous, uniformly on sets of the form $[s,S]\times\overline{D}$, $S>s$;

(S2) the equation in (III.2) is satisfied pointwise for any $t>s$ and any $x\in D$, and the boundary condition in (III.2) is satisfied pointwise for any $t>s$ and any $x\in\partial D$ (that is, it is a *classical solution*);

(S3) $u(s,x;s,u_0)=u(x)$ for each $x\in D$.

However, the Banach spaces of Hölder continuous functions are rather unwieldy to work in. The Hilbert space $L_2(D)$ is (at least for our purposes) a better choice, especially because we will need to differentiate (in time) the norm of a solution. Indeed, for an initial value u_0 we can take any function in $L_2(D)$. Then there exists a unique function $u(t,x;s,u_0)$, $t>s$, $x\in\overline{D}$, such that (S1) and (S2) are satisfied with s replaced with any $s_1>s$, and the initial condition $u(s,\cdot)=u_0$ is understood in the following sense: $u(t,\cdot;s,u_0)$ converges, as $t\to s^+$, to u_0 in the $L_2(D)$-norm (for details see, e.g., [10, Chapter XVI]).

Furthermore, for each $t>s$ the linear mapping $\big[\,u_0\mapsto u(t;\cdot;s,u_0)\,\big]$ is a bounded (even completely continuous) linear operator from $L_2(D)$ into $L_2(D)$. We will denote this operator by $U(t;s)$.

The family $\{U(t;s)\}_{s\leq t}$ satisfies the following equalities

$$U(t;r)=U(t;s)U(s;r)\quad\text{for any } r\leq s\leq t,$$

and

$$U(t+T;s+T)=U(t;s)\quad\text{for any } s\leq t.$$

A solution $u\colon(0,\infty)\times\overline{D}\to\mathbb{R}$ of (III.2) satisfying the initial condition $u(0,\cdot)=u_0$, where $u_0\in L_2(D)$, is called *positive* if $u(t,x)>0$ for all $t>0$ and all $x\in D$. It follows from standard maximum principles (see [37, Chapter 3]) that a necessary and sufficient condition for a solution of (III.2) to be positive is that $u_0(x)\geq 0$ for a.e. $x\in D$ and $u_0\not\equiv 0$.

A solution $u\colon\mathbb{R}\times\overline{D}\to\mathbb{R}$ of (III.2) is called *globally positive* if $u(t,x)>0$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and all $x\in D$.

III.2 Principal Lyapunov Exponent for Time-Periodic Parabolic PDEs of Second Order

The theory of principal Lyapunov exponents for time-periodic PDEs of second order was developed by P. Hess and presented in his monograph [17].

Theorem III.2. *For a linear T-periodic problem* (III.2) *there exist and are uniquely determined: a number $\rho > 0$, and a globally positive solution $v(t,x)$ of* (III.2)*, such that*

(i) $v(T,x) = \rho v(0,x)$ *for all* $x \in D$*;*

(ii) $\|v(0,\cdot)\| = 1$.

Consequently, the logarithmic growth rate *of $v(t,x)$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln\|v(t,\cdot)\|$, exists and is equal to $\frac{1}{T}\ln\rho$. Moreover,*

(iii)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|U(t;0)\|}{t} = \frac{\ln\rho}{T};$$

(iv) *for any positive solution $u(t,x)$ of* (III.2) *there holds*
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|u(t,\cdot)\|}{t} = \frac{\ln\rho}{T}.$$

The proof of the above theorem (except uniqueness of globally positive solutions) was given in [17]. In it one uses the fact that, by standard parabolic maximum principles, the completely continuous operator $U(T;0)$ satisfies some positivity properties, which allows us to use the Kreĭn–Rutman theorem ([11, Theorems 19.2 and 19.3]) to conclude that the spectral radius ρ of $U(T;0)$ is a positive simple eigenvalue and that an eigenfunction pertaining to it can be taken to assume positive values on D. As $v(t,x)$ we take the solution of (III.2) which equals, for $t = 0$, such a normalized eigenfunction.

Remark III.3. In [17] it was also shown that Λ is an eigenvalue of the differential operator (in our notation)
$$\left[u \mapsto \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u - c_0(t,x)u\right]$$
acting on some Banach space of Hölder continuous functions defined on $\mathbb{R}\times\overline{D}$, T-periodic in t, and that $e^{-\Lambda t}v(t,x)$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to that eigenvalue. We will not pursue that (potentially promising) way of thinking in the present review.

Remark III.4. The fact that $v(t,x)$ is a unique, up to multiplication by positive scalars, globally positive solution of (III.2), is a (not very straightforward) consequence of the parabolic Harnack estimates, see, e.g., [34], [27], or [19].

It appears to the author that the uniqueness of globally positive solutions is not needed to obtain the majority of (all?) results mentioned in the present survey, but it greatly simplifies their proofs.

The number
$$\Lambda := \frac{\ln\rho}{T}$$
is called the *principal Lyapunov exponent* (sometimes *principal Floquet exponent*) of (III.2).

Since $v(t,x)$ is a classical solution, we can prove the following analog of (II.3):

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \frac{\langle(\Delta + c(t,\cdot))v(t,\cdot), v(t,\cdot)\rangle}{\|v(t,\cdot)\|^2}\,dt. \tag{III.3}$$

III.3 Principal Lyapunov Exponents for Parabolic PDEs: "Trivial" Estimate

For a linear T-periodic problem (III.2), denote

$$c_{\min}(x) := \min_{t\in[0,T]} c(t,x), \quad x \in \overline{D},$$
$$c_{\max}(x) := \max_{t\in[0,T]} c(t,x), \quad x \in \overline{D}.$$

Proposition III.5. *For a linear T-periodic problem* (III.2) *there holds*

$$\lambda_{\text{princ}}(\Delta + c_{\min}(\cdot)I) \le \Lambda \le \lambda_{\text{princ}}(\Delta + c_{\max}(\cdot)I).$$

The proof of the above result is almost a copy of the proof of Proposition II.4, with comparison theorems for systems of ordinary differential inequalities replaced by theorems on parabolic partial differential inequalities (see, e.g., [37, Chapter 3]).

III.4 Parabolic PDEs: An Estimate from Above

Note that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ integration by parts gives that

$$\langle(\Delta + c(\cdot))v(t,\cdot), v(t,\cdot)\rangle = \int_D \left(-\|\nabla_x v(t,x)\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n} + c(t,x)v^2(t,x)\right)\,dx.$$

By applying the above formula into (III.3) we obtain, via Proposition III.1, the following (folk?) result:

Proposition III.6. *For a linear T-periodic problem* (III.2) *there holds*

$$\Lambda \le \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \lambda_{\text{princ}}(\Delta + c(t,\cdot)I)\,dt.$$

III.5 Parabolic PDEs: An Estimate from Below

Denote

$$\overline{c}(x) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T c(t,x)\,dt, \quad x \in \overline{D}.$$

The following result was proved in [20]:

Theorem III.7. *For a linear T-periodic problem* (III.2) *we have*

$$\Lambda \geq \lambda_{\text{princ}}(\Delta + \overline{c}(\cdot)I).$$

Also, in [20] it was proved that the equality holds if and only if $c(t,x) = c_1(t) + c_2(x)$ for some c_1, c_2.

Part IV
Generalizations to Nonperiodic Time Dependence

In the present Part we present generalizations of (some) results in Parts II and III to the case when the dependence of the matrices C or functions c on time is almost periodic (in Section IV.1), general nonautonomous (in Section IV.2), or, finally, random (in Section IV.3).

IV.1 Almost Periodic Case

Assume that in

$$\frac{du}{dt} = C(t)u, \tag{IV.1}$$

the matrix function $C(\cdot)$ is (Bohr) almost periodic (see, e.g., [15]), with the property that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $c_{ij}(t) \geq \delta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \neq j$. Then Theorem II.3 has the following counterpart: There exists a unique globally positive solution $v(t)$ of (IV.1) such that $\|v(0)\| = 1$, and its logarithmic growth rate, $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln\|v(t)\|$, exists (denote it by Λ). Further, it can be proved that

- $$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln \|U(t;0)\|}{t} = \Lambda;$$
- for any positive solution $u(t)$ of (IV.1) there holds
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln \|u(t)\|}{t} = \Lambda.$$

The main difference between the periodic and almost periodic cases is that in the latter there is no linear operator (like $U(T;0)$ in the former) whose spectral radius is an eigenvalue such that a corresponding eigenvector serves as a starting point of a globally positive solution. Instead, the construction is much more involved. However, when we have already constructed such a solution, the proofs of estimates are much the same.

A similar situation holds for PDEs

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + c(t,x)u, & x \in D, \\ u(t,x) = 0, & x \in \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{IV.2}$$

where $c\colon \mathbb{R}\times\overline{D}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a sufficiently regular function, almost periodic in t uniformly in $\overline{D}$ (see [44]).

IV.2 General Nonautonomous Case

In a general case of nonautonomous strongly cooperative systems of linear ODEs:

$$\frac{du}{dt}=C(t)u, \tag{IV.3}$$

where $C\colon\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ is bounded and (globally uniformly) Lipschitz continuous, with the property that there exists $\delta>0$ such that $c_{ij}(t)\geq\delta$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $i\neq j$, it can be proved that there exists a unique (up to multiplication by positive scalars) globally positive solution $v(t)$ of (IV.3) such that $\|v(0)\|=1$. However, in general one can prove only that there are reals $\Lambda_{\min}\leq\Lambda_{\max}$ such that

$$\liminf_{t-s\to\infty}\frac{\ln\|v(t)\|-\ln\|v(s)\|}{t-s}=\Lambda_{\min}\text{ and }\limsup_{t-s\to\infty}\frac{\ln\|v(t)\|-\ln\|v(s)\|}{t-s}=\Lambda_{\max}.$$

The interval $[\Lambda_{\min},\Lambda_{\max}]$ is called the *principal spectrum* of (IV.3).

The situation becomes slightly different in the *forward nonautonomous* case, that is, when the matrix function $C(\cdot)$ is defined and satisfies the corresponding properties on $[0,\infty)$ only. Namely, there is obviously no globally positive solution of the system, but there are reals $\Lambda_{\min}\leq\Lambda_{\max}$ such that

$$\liminf_{\substack{t-s\to\infty\\ s\to\infty}}\frac{\ln\|u(t)\|-\ln\|u(s)\|}{t-s}=\Lambda_{\min}\text{ and }\limsup_{\substack{t-s\to\infty\\ s\to\infty}}\frac{\ln\|u(t)\|-\ln\|u(s)\|}{t-s}=\Lambda_{\max}.$$

for **any** positive solution. Similarly, $[\Lambda_{\min},\Lambda_{\max}]$ is called the *principal spectrum* of the forward nonautonomous (IV.3).

For an extension of the above theory to linear parabolic PDEs of second order, see the monograph [30] (in the nonautonomous case) and the paper [31] (in the forward nonautonomous case).

Many of the results mentioned in Parts II and III carry over to the nonautonomous case and/or forward nonautonomous case, with suitable modifications.

IV.3 Random Case

Random systems form another generalization of time-periodic systems. To give a flavor of those generalizations we briefly describe, without going into details, random systems of linear strongly cooperative ODEs.

Assume that $(\Omega,\mathfrak{F},\mathbb{P})$ is a measure space: Ω is a set, $\mathfrak{F}$ is a σ-algebra of subsets of Ω and $\mathbb{P}$ is a probability measure on $\mathfrak{F}$. We always assume that $\mathbb{P}$ is complete.

We let $\theta=(\theta_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a $\mathbb{P}$-preserving ergodic dynamical system on a measure space $(\Omega,\mathfrak{F},\mathbb{P})$. For $\omega\in\Omega$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}$ we usually write $\omega\cdot t$ instead of $\theta_t\omega$.

Consider a family of linear systems of ODEs

$$\frac{du}{dt} = C(\omega \cdot t)u \tag{IV.4}$$

indexed by $\omega \in \Omega$. Here $C\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ is measurable. Sometimes we will write $(\text{IV.4})_\omega$ to emphasize that we consider the system for a fixed $\omega \in \Omega$.

We present now, in a rather cursory way, the main points of the theory of random strongly cooperative systems of linear ODEs as developed in [33]. That theory is a specialization of an abstract theory of random monotone dynamical systems presented in [32].

Firstly, one assumes that for each $\omega \in \Omega$ the function $\big[\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto C(\omega\cdot t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}\big]$ is continuous. This guarantees the existence of solutions of $(\text{IV.4})_\omega$. Let $U_\omega(t;s)$ stand for the corresponding transition matrix.

Secondly, one assumes that the matrix function $C\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ belongs to $L_1\big((\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P}),\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}\big)$. That assumption guarantees that (IV.4) gives rise to a random (skew-product) dynamical system to which ergodic theorems can be applied (see [1, Chapter 2, in particular Example 2.2.8]).

Regarding strong cooperativity, the condition alone that $C(\omega) \in \mathcal{M}_N$ does not suffice to reproduce many features of the theory of principal Lyapunov exponents for time-periodic systems. In [33] sufficient conditions are given in the form of some functions, expressed in terms of the entries of $C(\omega)$, belonging to $L_1\big((\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbf{P}),\mathbb{R}\big)$. For instance, those conditions are satisfied if the matrices $C(\omega)$ are bounded uniformly in $\omega \in \Omega$ and their off-diagonal entries are bounded away from zero uniformly in $\omega \in \Omega$.

It is proved then that there exist and are uniquely determined: a real number Λ and a family $\{v_\omega(t)\}$ of globally positive solutions of $(\text{IV.4})_\omega$, indexed by ω belonging to some set $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of full measure, with $v_\omega(0)$ depending measurably on $\omega \in \Omega'$, satisfying, for each $\omega \in \Omega'$, the following:

- $\|v_\omega(0)\| = 1$;
- for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $v_\omega(t)$ equals $v_{\omega\cdot t}(0)$ multiplied by some positive number (dependent perhaps on ω and t);
- $$\Lambda = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|v_\omega(t)\|}{t}.$$

Further, it is proved that for any $\omega \in \Omega'$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|U_\omega(t;0)\|}{t} = \Lambda,$$

and that for any positive solution of $(\text{IV.4})_\omega$, $\omega \in \Omega'$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|u(t)\|}{t} = \Lambda.$$

The number Λ is called the *principal Lyapunov exponent* of (IV.4).

Eq. (II.3) has the following analog:

$$\Lambda = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \langle C(\omega)v_\omega(\tau), v_\omega(\tau)\rangle \, d\tau, \quad \omega \in \Omega'. \tag{IV.5}$$

An application of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem (see [1, Appendix A]) allows us to write

$$\Lambda = \int_\Omega \langle C(\omega)v_\omega(0), v_\omega(0)\rangle \, d\mathbb{P}(\omega). \tag{IV.6}$$

Applying (II.4) we obtain the following generalization of Proposition II.5:

$$\Lambda \le \int_\Omega \lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(C^{\mathrm{S}}(\omega)) \, d\mathbb{P}(\omega). \tag{IV.7}$$

We have the following counterpart of Theorem II.6 (see [28]):

$$\Lambda \ge \frac{1}{N} \int_\Omega \Big(\operatorname{tr} C(\omega) + 2 \sum_{j<k} \sqrt{c_{jk}(\omega) c_{kj}(\omega)} \Big) \, d\mathbb{P}(\omega), \tag{IV.8}$$

$$\Lambda \ge \int_\Omega \Big(\min_i \sum_j c_{ij}(\omega) \Big) \, d\mathbb{P}(\omega), \tag{IV.9}$$

$$\Lambda \ge \int_\Omega \Big(\min_j \sum_j c_{ij}(\omega) \Big) \, d\mathbb{P}(\omega). \tag{IV.10}$$

When the off-diagonal entries of $C(\omega)$ are independent of ω we have the following generalization of Theorem II.9:

$$\Lambda \ge \lambda_{\mathrm{dom}}(\overline{C}),$$

where $\overline{C} = [\overline{c}_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N$ is the matrix with $\overline{c}_{ij} = c_{ij}$ for $i \ne j$ and

$$\overline{c}_{ii} = \int_\Omega c_{ii}(\omega) \, d\mathbb{P}(\omega).$$

In other words, the principal Lyapunov exponent of the original system is not smaller than the dominant eigenvalue of the state-averaged system.

A proof is a copy of the proof of Theorem II.9, where we take the globally positive solution $v_\omega(t)$ for some $\omega \in \Omega'$, replace $(1/T)\int_0^T \dots$ with $\lim_{t\to\infty}(1/t)\int_0^t \dots$, and apply Birkhoff's ergodic theorem.

IV.3.1 Application to Investigation of Almost Periodic Systems

Ideas from the random case can be fruitfully applied to investigating deterministic cases.

For example, in the almost periodic case the completion of the set of all time-translates of $C(\cdot)$ (for (IV.1)) in an appropriately chosen metric is a compact metrizable space (the *hull* Ω of $C(\cdot)$) on which the translation flow is minimal and, moreover, uniquely ergodic (meaning that there exists a unique probability Borel measure $\mathbb{P}$ on Ω that is invariant with respect to the translation flow). For details, see [44].

In [6, Example 4.2] numerical estimates of Λ are given for a two-dimensional system $du/dt = C(t)u$, quasi-periodic in time. There, an approximation of $v_\omega(0)$ is obtained, and formula IV.6 is applied.

Part V
Generalizations to Other Differential Equations

V.1 Cooperative Systems of ODEs

System

$$\frac{du}{dt} = C(t)u,$$

where $C\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ is continuous and T-periodic, is called *cooperative* if for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix $C(t)$ has all off-diagonal entries nonnegative. A theorem due to Müller and Kamke (see, e.g., [46, Chapter II], or [18, Chapter 3]) states that for a cooperative system the transition matrix $U(t;s)$ is nonnegative.

For autonomous linear cooperative systems a simple condition is known, called *irreducibility* (for definition, see, e.g., [5, Definition (1.2)]), which is equivalent to e^{tC} being positive for all $t > 0$. The "sufficiency" part of that condition can be generalized to the case of time-periodic systems (and even to random systems, see Assumption (O3) in [33]), and then the whole theory presented in Part II carries over word for word.

Even in the case of systems that are only cooperative something can be said. There need not be a (unique up to multiplication by positive scalars) globally positive solution $v(t)$ (for that matter, there need not be any globally positive solution), nonetheless the (necessarily positive) spectral radius of $U(T;0)$ is an eigenvalue (not necessarily simple), to which there exists a nonnegative eigenvector.

One can in a natural way define the *top Lyapunov exponent* Λ as the logarithmic growth rate of the norm of $U(t;0)$:

$$\Lambda = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln \|U(t;0)\|}{t}.$$

In particular, some estimates in [28], for example estimates as in Theorem II.6, hold, after suitable modifications, for cooperative systems.

V.2 Strongly Cooperative Systems of Parabolic PDEs

Consider a system of linear reaction–diffusion equations

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = \Delta u_i + \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t,x)u_j, & 1 \le i \le N,\ x \in \Omega, \\ u_i(t,x) = 0, & 1 \le i \le N,\ x \in \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{V.1}$$

where the C^3 functions $c_{ij}\colon \mathbb{R} \times \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ are assumed to be time-periodic with period T.

System (V.1) is called *strongly cooperative* if for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \overline{D}$ the matrix $[c_{ij}(t,x)]_{i,j=1}^N$ is in $\mathcal{M}_N$.

For strongly cooperative systems (V.1) an analog of Theorem III.2 holds, where by a *globally positive solution* of (V.1) one understands a solution $v\colon \mathbb{R} \times \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $v_i(t,x) > 0$ for all $1 \le i \le N$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in D$.

A theory of the principal spectrum for autonomous (and the principal Lyapunov exponent for random) strongly cooperative systems of linear reaction–diffusion equations was presented in [30]. In particular, for systems (V.1) such that c_{ij} does not, for $i \neq j$, depend on time an analog of Theorem III.7 was proved (see [30, Subsection 6.3.3]).

V.3 More General Linear Parabolic PDEs of Second Order

V.3.1 General Linear Parabolic PDEs

Consider a Dirichlet problem for a linear parabolic partial differential equation of second order

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \displaystyle\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t,x)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t,x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(t,x)u, & x \in D, \\ u(t,x) = 0, & x \in \partial D \end{cases} \tag{V.2}$$

on a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with sufficiently regular boundary ∂D, where the (suitably regular) coefficients a_{ij}, a_i, b_i and c are time-periodic with period T, $a_{ij} \equiv a_{ji}$ for any $i \neq j$, and there is $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t,x)\xi_i\xi_j \ge \gamma ||\xi||_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2, \quad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R},\ \forall\, x \in D,\ \forall\, \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For such systems, it was proved in [17] that there are a number $\rho > 0$ and a globally positive solution $v(t,x)$ of (V.2) such that all the properties as in Theorem III.2 are satisfied.

When the second- and first-order coefficients a_{ij} and b_i are independent of time, an analog of the "trivial" estimates in Proposition III.5 holds for problems (V.2):

$$\lambda_{\text{princ}}^{\min} \le \Lambda \le \lambda_{\text{princ}}^{\max},$$

where $\lambda_{\text{princ}}^{\min}$ stands for the principal eigenvalue of the elliptic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + \Big(\min_{t\in[0,T]} c(t,x)\Big)u = 0, & x \in D, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial D \end{cases} \tag{V.3}$$

(and similarly for $\lambda_{\text{princ}}^{\max}$).

The Dirichlet boundary condition in (V.2) can be replaced by the Neumann boundary condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \nu_i(t,x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial D,$$

or by the Robin boundary conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \nu_i(t,x) + d(t,x)u = 0, \quad x \in \partial D,$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \colon \mathbb{R} \times \partial D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a T-periodic (in t) sufficiently regular vector field on ∂D pointing out of D and $d \colon \mathbb{R} \times \partial D \to [0, \infty)$ is T-periodic (in t) sufficiently regular function. Indeed, other boundary conditions are allowed as long as they admit the strong maximum principle for the corresponding problem.

V.3.2 Linear Parabolic PDEs in Divergence Form

Let us specialize to Dirichlet problems for linear parabolic PDEs of second order in *divergence form*:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t,x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + a_i(t,x)u \Big) \\ \qquad\qquad + \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(t,x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(t,x)u, & x \in D, \\ u(t,x) = 0, & x \in \partial D. \end{cases} \tag{V.4}$$

After performing some integration by parts a reader who is well versed in the existence theory of parabolic PDEs will notice that, for (V.4),

$$\Lambda = -\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T B(t; w(t), w(t))\, dt,$$

where $B(t; \cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the *Dirichlet form*:

$$\begin{aligned} B(t; u, v) := & \int_D \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t,x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + a_i(t,x) \Big) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \Big)\, dx \\ & - \int_D \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(t,x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(t,x) \Big) v\, dx, \quad u, v \in H_0^1(D). \end{aligned} \tag{V.5}$$

If a_i and b_i are constantly equal to zero, that is, in the case of

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t,x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \Big) + c(t,x)u, & x \in D, \\ u(t,x) = 0, & x \in \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{V.6}$$

the corresponding differential operator is, for all t, self-adjoint, and the Dirichlet form is symmetric:

$$B(t;u,v)=\int\limits_D\Big(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t,x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}+c(t,x)uv\Big)\,dx,\quad u,v\in H_0^1(D).$$

Then the analog of Proposition III.6 holds:

$$\Lambda\le\frac{1}{T}\int\limits_0^T\lambda_{\rm princ}(A(t)+c(t,\cdot)I)\,dt,$$

where $\lambda_{\rm princ}(A(t)+c(t,\cdot)I)$ denotes the principal eigenvalue of the elliptic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t,x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\Big)+c(t,x)u=0, & x\in D,\\ u(x)=0, & x\in\partial D.\end{cases}\tag{V.7}$$

The Dirichlet boundary condition in (V.4) can be replaced by the Neumann boundary condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^N\Big(\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij}(t,x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}+a_i(t,x)u\Big)\nu_i=0,\quad x\in\partial D,$$

or the Robin boundary conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^N\Big(\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij}(t,x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}+a_i(t,x)u\Big)\nu_i+d(t,x)u=0,\quad x\in\partial D,$$

where now $\nu=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n)$ denotes the unit normal vector field on ∂D pointing out of D and $d\colon\mathbb{R}\times\partial D\to[0,\infty)$ is T-periodic (in t) sufficiently regular function.

V.3.3 Faber–Krahn Inequalities

In the case of

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t,x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\Big), & x\in D,\\ u(t,x)=0, & x\in\partial D,\end{cases}\tag{V.8}$$

let $\gamma\colon\mathbb{R}\to(0,\infty)$ be a continuous T-periodic function such that for each $t\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t,x)\xi_i\xi_j\ge\gamma(t)||\xi||^2_{\mathbb{R}^n},\quad\forall\,x\in D,\ \forall\,\xi=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then

$$\Lambda\le\Big(\frac{1}{T}\int\limits_0^T\gamma(t)\,dt\Big)\lambda^{\rm sym}_{\rm princ},$$

where $\lambda_{\mathrm{princ}}^{\mathrm{sym}}$ denotes the principal eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on the ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose volume equals the volume of D (for a proof, see [17]; see also extensions to nonautonomous and random cases in [29] and [30, Section 5.4]).

V.3.4 Spatial Averaging

For time-periodic linear parabolic PDEs with Neumann boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\Big) + c(t,x)u, & x \in D, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\Big)\nu_i = 0, & x \in \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{V.9}$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ denotes the unit normal vector field on ∂D pointing out of D, the principal Lyapunov exponent of (V.9) is bounded **below** by the principal Lyapunov exponent of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\Big) + \widetilde{c}(t)u, & x \in D, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\Big)\nu_i = 0, & x \in \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{V.10}$$

where $\widetilde{c}$ is the *space average* of c:

$$\widetilde{c}(t) := \frac{1}{|D|}\int_D c(t,x)\,dx, \qquad t \in [0,T]$$

($|D|$ denotes the volume of D). See [30, Section 5.3]. Also, when the coefficients are sufficiently smooth it can be proved that those principal Lyapunov exponents are equal if and only if c is independent of x.

V.4 Nonlocal Dispersal Equations

Another generalization of (III.2) rests on replacing the Laplace operator Δ (corresponding to the infinitesimal dispersal) with some nonlocal dispersal operator. To be more specific, consider

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \int_D K(x-y)\big(u(t,y) - u(t,x)\big)\,dx + c(t,x)u, \quad x \in D, \tag{V.11}$$

where $K\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable kernel and $c\colon \mathbb{R} \times \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a sufficiently regular function, time-periodic in t with period T.

In the case of nonautonomous nonlocal dispersal equations various concepts related to the principal Lyapunov exponent were investigated in, e.g., [42], [21], [38], [43].

It is worth mentioning that frequently the top Lyapunov exponent introduced in the above papers has the property that it is bounded below by the principal eigenvalue of the time-averaged problem.

V.5 (Strongly) Cooperative Delay Differential Equations

Consider a linear system of delay differential equations

$$\frac{du}{dt}(t) = C(t)u(t) + D(t)u(t-T), \tag{V.12}$$

where $C, D\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ are continuous and T-periodic matrix functions.

Let $||\cdot||_{\mathbb{R}^N}$ denote the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^N$.

$C([-T,0],\mathbb{R}^N)$ stands for the Banach space of $\mathbb{R}^N$-valued continuous functions defined on $[-T,0]$, with the supremum norm

$$\|u\| = \sup\{\, ||u(\tau)||_{\mathbb{R}^N} : \tau \in [-T,0]\,\}.$$

It can be proved in the framework of the theory of nonhomogeneous linear systems of ODEs (with no delay) that for any initial time $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and any initial value $u_0 \in C([-T,0],\mathbb{R}^N)$ there exists a unique solution $u(\cdot; s, u_0)$ of the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{du}{dt}(t) = C(t)u(t) + D(t)u(t-T), & t \geq s \\ u(t; s, u_0) = u_0(t), & s - T \leq t \leq s. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, a little amount of functional analysis allows us to conclude that, if we define a linear operator $U(t;s)$, $s \leq t$, from $C([-T,0],\mathbb{R}^N)$ into itself by the formula

$$(U(t;s)u_0)(\tau) := u(t+\tau; s, u_0), \quad \tau \in [-T,0],$$

the family $\{U(t;s)\}_{s\leq t}$ satisfies

$$U(t;r) = U(t;s)U(s;r) \quad \text{for any } r \leq s \leq t,$$

and

$$U(t+T; s+T) = U(t;s) \quad \text{for any } s \leq t.$$

Moreover, the linear operator $U(t;s)$ is completely continuous for $t \geq s+T$.

When we assume that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $C(t)$ is in $\mathcal{M}_N$ and $D(t)$ has nonnegative entries (such systems can be called *strongly cooperative*), we can apply the Kreĭn–Rutman theorem to $U(T;0)$ to conclude that there is a unique $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_N) \in C([-T,0],\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|w\| = 1$, $w_i(\tau) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ and all $\tau \in [-T,0]$ and $U(T;0)w = \rho w$, where ρ denotes the spectral radius of $U(T;0)$.

We can define the *principal Lyapunov exponent* of a strongly cooperative (V.12) as

$$\Lambda = \frac{\ln \rho}{T}.$$

Usually it is better to look at w in a different way: there is a solution $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_N)\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ of (V.12) such that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there holds

$v_i(t) > 0$, $1 \le i \le N$, and $\sup\{\, ||v(\tau)||_{\mathbb{R}^N} : \tau \in [-T, 0]\,\} = 1$. After some effort one can prove that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln ||v(t)||_{\mathbb{R}^N}}{t} = \Lambda,$$

and, moreover, that for any nontrivial solution $u(\cdot) = u(\cdot; s, u_0)$, where $(u_0)_i(s-\tau) \ge 0$ for all $1 \le i \le N$ and all $\tau \in [-T, 0]$ there holds

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln ||u(t)||_{\mathbb{R}^N}}{t} = \Lambda,$$

With the help of standard comparison principles for systems of ODEs one can prove analogs of "trivial" estimates on Λ as in Proposition II.4.

In [6], based on a theory developed in [35], the existence of Λ satisfying some of the above properties was proved under relaxed assumptions of cooperativity (and with quasi-periodic time dependence). Furthermore, estimates of $v_\omega(0)$ together with a modification of formula IV.6 were used to calculate an approximate value of Λ (see [6, Example 5.2]).

Part VI
Discrete-Time Counterparts

As in Section IV.3, let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a measure space: Ω is a set, $\mathfrak{F}$ is a σ-algebra of subsets of Ω and $\mathbb{P}$ is a probability measure on $\mathfrak{F}$.

We let θ be a $\mathbb{P}$-preserving ergodic automorphism of the measure space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

$\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of a vector in $\mathbb{R}^N$ or a matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$, depending on the context.

Assume that $M\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ be a measurable mapping. For $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write

$$M^{(n)}(\omega) := M(\theta^{n-1}\omega) \cdot \ldots \cdot M(\omega).$$

Further, let $M^{(0)}(\omega) := I$.

Autonomous systems of linear ODEs correspond to iterates, M^n, of a matrix M. In that context, strong cooperativity for systems of linear ODEs corresponds to the positivity of M.

However, in the random discrete-time case one needs to impose, besides the nonnegativity of $M(\omega)$, some additional assumptions to guarantee that the theory of principal Lyapunov exponents carry over to that case. Those assumptions, given in the form of some functions, expressed in terms of the entries of $M(\omega)$, belonging to $L_1\big((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}), \mathbb{R}\big)$ (see [2] and [33]), imply that there exist and are uniquely determined: a real number Λ and a family $\{w(\omega)\}$ of positive unit vectors, indexed by ω belonging to some set $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of full measure, depending measurably on $\omega \in \Omega'$, satisfying, for each $\omega \in \Omega'$, the following:

- $M(\omega)w(\omega)$ equals $w(\theta\omega)$ multiplied by some positive number $\mu(\omega)$.

•

$$\Lambda = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|M^{(n)}(\omega)w(\omega)\|}{n}.$$

Further, it is proved that for any $\omega \in \Omega'$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|M^{(n)}\|}{n} = \Lambda,$$

and that for any nonzero $u \in \mathbb{R}^N_+$ and any $\omega \in \Omega'$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln\|M^{(n)}(\omega)u\|}{n} = \Lambda.$$

The number Λ is called the *principal Lyapunov exponent* of the random system of positive matrices $\{M(\omega)\}_{\omega\in\Omega}$.

It appears that results on estimates of principal Lyapunov exponent in the discrete-time case are much more numerous than in the continuous-time case. For example, in the case of some special systems explicit formulas for principal Lyapunov exponents are known (see [39] or [45]).

Of special interest is the paper [36], in which homogeneous (of degree one) positive functionals on $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ were used to obtain upper and lower estimates of principal Lyapunov exponents (compare the use of homogeneous functionals to prove lower estimates of principal Lyapunov exponents for strongly cooperative linear systems of ODEs in [28], as described in Subsection II.5.1).

In [4] with the help of the homogeneous polynomial $V(u) = u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_N$ the following lower estimate was obtained:

Theorem VI.1.

$$\Lambda \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_\Omega \mathrm{perm}(M(\omega))\, d\mathbb{P}(\omega),$$

where perm *denotes the permanent of a matrix.*

Problem VI.2. Develop a unified approach, via homogeneous functionals, to lower estimates of the principal Lyapunov exponent which could cover both cooperative systems of linear ODEs and random systems of positive matrices.

VI.0.1 State-Averaging

One could ask if for (some) random systems of positive matrices there is an analog of Theorem II.9.

The answer is yes. Indeed, assume that $M(\omega) = AB(\omega)$, where A is a positive matrix and $B(\omega) = \mathrm{diag}(b_1(\omega), \ldots, b_N(\omega))$ with $b_i(\omega) > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, $\omega \in \Omega$ (this is a natural assumption from the point of view of biological applications, see [40]). Further, we make some technical assumptions concerning the summability of some functions expressed in terms of logarithms, maximums and minimums of $b_i(\cdot)$ (those assumptions guarantee that it will be possible to apply Birkhoff's ergodic theorem).

For $1 \le i \le N$ put

$$\ln \overline{b}_i = \int\limits_{\Omega} \ln b_i(\omega)\, d\mathbb{P}(\omega).$$

We claim that the principal Lyapunov exponent Λ of the original system is bounded below by the logarithm of the dominant eigenvalue of $A\overline{B}$, where $\overline{B} = \operatorname{diag}(\overline{b}_1, \dots, \overline{b}_N)$.

We write $w(\cdot) = (w_1(\cdot), \dots, w_N(\cdot))$. Fix $\omega \in \Omega'$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln w_i(\theta^k \omega) = \int \ln w_i(\cdot)\, d\mathbb{P}(\cdot)$$

for all $1 \le i \le N$ (from the assumptions alluded to above it follows that $\ln w_i(\cdot)$ are in $L_1\big((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}), \mathbb{R}\big)$ so, due to Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, the above equality holds for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega'$). Put $w(n) := w(\theta^n \omega)$, $\mu(n) := \mu(\theta^n \omega)$, $b_i(n) := b_i(\theta^n \omega)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (recall that $w(n+1) = \mu(n) w(n)$).

We have

$$w_i(n+1) = \frac{1}{\mu(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} b_j(n) w_j(n).$$

Put

$$\widetilde{w}_i(n) := \exp\Big(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln w_i(k)\Big), \quad \widehat{w}_i(n) := \exp\Big(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \ln w_i(k)\Big).$$

Further, let

$$\widetilde{b}_i(n) := \exp\Big(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln b_i(k)\Big), \quad \widetilde{\mu}(n) := \exp\Big(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln \mu(k)\Big).$$

For any i, j an application of the geometric-arithmetic mean inequality gives that

$$\exp\bigg(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln\Big(\frac{1}{\mu(k)} b_j(k) \frac{w_j(k)}{w_i(k+1)}\Big)\bigg) \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu(k)} b_j(k) \frac{w_j(k)}{w_i(k+1)}.$$

For each i, by multiplying the above inequality by a_{ij}, $1 \le j \le N$, and adding the resulting inequalities one obtains, after some calculation, that

$$\frac{1}{\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{n} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln \mu(k)\Big)} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} \exp\bigg(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln b_j(k)\bigg) \frac{\widetilde{w}_j(n)}{\widehat{w}_i(n)}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu(k)} b_j(k) \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} w_j(k)}{w_i(k)} = 1,$$

that is,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} \widetilde{b}_j(n) \widetilde{w}_j(n) \le \widetilde{\mu}(n) \widehat{w}_i(n).$$

It is a consequence of standard estimates in ergodic theory that $\tilde{\mu}(n)$ are bounded away from zero, uniformly in n.

Take a sequence $(n_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} n_m = \infty$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty} \widetilde{w}_i(n_m) =: \overline{w}_i$ exist for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. Since

$$\hat{w}_i(n) = \widetilde{w}_j(n) \exp\left(\frac{1}{n} \ln \frac{w_i(n)}{w_i(0)}\right),$$

it follows that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \widehat{w}_i(n_m) = \overline{w}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$.

As $\lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{\mu}(n) = e^{\Lambda}$, we have therefore found $\overline{w} \in \mathbb{R}^N_{++}$ such that

$$A\overline{B}\overline{w} \leq e^{\Lambda}\overline{w}$$

holds. By [5, Theorem (1.11) on p. 28], the logarithm of the spectral radius of $A\overline{B}$ does not exceed the principal Lyapunov exponent Λ.

Part VII
Concluding Remarks

Remark VII.1. In the present survey a common feature is that it has been done from the point of view of **individual trajectories**: there is some distinguished solution (denoted by $v(t)$ or the like) the logarithmic growth rate of the norm of which serves as the principal Lyapunov exponent. We can call this approach **dynamical**.

A variant of the dynamical approach rests on representing, via Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, the logarithmic growth rate of the distinguished solution with the integral over a measure space Ω of some computable quantity, as in formula IV.6.

There can be a different approach, more **functional-analytic** in its spirit. Namely, the original system gives rise to an *evolution semigroup* of bounded linear operators on the space $L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)$, or $C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$, or others (see [8]). Evolution semigroups generated by systems considered in the present survey possess positivity (order-preserving) properties, which can allow one to apply the spectral theory of such semigroups (see, e.g., [3]). That approach is especially fruitful for time-periodic systems, see [17], or [21].

Remark VII.2. It is a recurring theme in the present survey that, for systems in which interactions between "components" (be it indices $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ or points $x \in D$) are independent of time, the principal Lyapunov exponent of the original system is not smaller than the principal eigenvalue of the time-averaged (in the periodic or almost periodic cases) or the state-averaged (in the random case) system (sometimes one can even prove that the inequality is sharp except some trivial cases). As, in applications to biological populations, the positivity of the principal Lyapunov exponent ensures the permanence of the population, we have that **temporal variation in the growth rates enhances the capability of the population to persist**. This is in marked contrast to what is known in population biology, see [40, Introduction].

Problem VII.3. Develop a theory which would unify all the mentioned results, in the continuous-time as well as in the discrete-time cases, on estimating the principal Lyapunov exponent via averaging. (For a unified treatment of some PDEs, nonlocal dispersal equations and some systems of ODEs see [42]).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NCN grant Maestro 2013/08/A/ST1/00275.

The author is grateful to Rafael Obaya and Ana M. Sanz for sending him a preprint of [6], and to Sylvia Novo, Rafael Obaya and Ana M. Sanz for valuable discussions.

References

[1] L. Arnold, *Random dynamical systems*, Springer Monogr. Math. Springer, Berlin, 1998. **MR 1723992 (2000m:37087)**

[2] L. Arnold, V. M. Gundlach, L. Demetrius, Evolutionary formalism for products of positive random matrices, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **4** (1994), no. 3, 859–901. **MR 1284989 (95h:28028)**

[3] J. Banasiak, L. Arlotti, *Perturbations of positive semigroups with applications*, Springer Monogr. Math. Springer, London, 2006. **MR 2178970 (2006i:47076)**

[4] M. Benaïm, S. J. Schreiber, Persistence of structured populations in random environments, *Theor. Popul. Biol.* **76** (2009), no. 1, 19–34. (not covered in MR)

[5] A. Berman, R. J. Plemmons, *Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences*, revised reprint of the 1979 original, Classics Appl. Math., **9**. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1994. **MR 1298430 (95e:15013)**

[6] J. A. Calzada, R. Obaya, A. M. Sanz, Continuous separation for monotone skew-product semiflows: From theoretical to numerical results, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, in press.

[7] C. Chicone, *Ordinary differential equations with application*, second edition, Texts Appl. Math., **34**. Springer, New York, 2006. **MR 2224508 (2006m:34001)**

[8] C. Chicone, Y. Latushkin, *Evolution semigroups in dynamical systems and differential equations*, Math. Surveys Monogr., **70**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. **MR 1707332 (2001e:47068)**

[9] R. Courant, D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics*, Vol. I. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1953. **MR 0065391 (16,426a)**

[10] R. Dautray, J.-L. Lions, *Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology. Vol. 5, evolution problems. I*, with the collaboration of M. Artola, M. Cessenat and H. Lanchon, translated from the French by A. Craig. Springer, Berlin, 1992. **MR 1156075 (92k:00006)**

[11] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, unabridged, emended republication of the 1985 edition originally published by Springer. Dover Publications, New York, 2010. **MR 0787404 (86j:47001)**

[12] K.-J. Engel, R. Nagel, *A short course on operator semigroups*, Universitext. Springer, New York, 2006. **MR 2229872 (2007e:47001)**

[13] L. C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, Grad. Stud. Math., **19**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. **MR 1625845 (99e:35001)**

[14] M. Farkas, *Periodic motions*, Appl. Math. Sci., **104**. Springer, New York, 1994. **MR 1299528 (95g:34058)**

[15] A. M. Fink, *Almost periodic differential equations*, Lect. Notes in Math., **377**. Springer, Berlin–New York, 1974. **MR 0460799 (57 #792)**

[16] A. Friedman, *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*, unabridged republication of the 1964 edition. Dover Publications, New York, 2008. **MR 0181836 (31 #6062)**

[17] P. Hess, *Periodic-parabolic boundary value problems and positivity*, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., **247**. Longman/Wiley, Harlow/New York, 1991. **MR 1100011 (92h:35001)**

[18] M. W. Hirsch, H. L. Smith, Monotone dynamical systems. *Handbook of Differential Equations: Ordinary Differential Equations, Vol. II*, 239–357. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005. **MR 2182759 (2006j:37017)**

[19] J. Húska, P. Poláčik, M. V. Safonov, Harnack inequalities, exponential separation, and perturbations of principal Floquet bundles for linear parabolic equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **24** (2007), no. 5, 711–739. **MR 2348049 (2008k:35211)**

[20] V. Hutson, W. Shen, G. T. Vickers, Estimates for the principal spectrum point for certain time-dependent parabolic operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), no. 6, 1669–1679. **MR 1814096 (2001m:35243)**

[21] V. Hutson, W. Shen, G. T. Vickers, Spectral theory for nonlocal dispersal with periodic or almost-periodic time dependence, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **38** (2008), no. 4, 1147–1175. **MR 2436718 (2009g:47197)**

[22] A. Iserles, Expansions that grow on trees, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (2002), no. 4, 430–440. **MR 1892640 (2003b:34022)**

[23] K. Josić, R. Rosenbaum, Unstable solutions of nonautonomous linear differential equations, *SIAM Rev.* **50** (2008), no. 3, 570–584. **MR 2429450 (2009d:34128)**

[24] L. Y. Kolotilina, Lower bounds for the Perron root of a nonnegative matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **180** (1993), 133–151. **MR 1206413 (94b:15016)**

[25] T. Malik, H. L. Smith, Does dormancy increase fitness of bacterial populations in time-varying environments?, *Bull. Math. Biol.* **70** (2008), no. 4, 1140–1162. **MR 2391183 (2009g:92091)**

[26] M. Marcus, H. Minc, *A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities*, reprint of the 1969 edition. Dover Publications, New York, 1992. **MR 0162808 (29 #112)**

[27] J. Mierczyński, Globally positive solutions of linear parabolic partial differential equations of second order with Dirichlet boundary conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **226** (1998), no. 2, 326–347. **MR 1650236 (99m:35096)**

[28] J. Mierczyński, Lower estimates of top Lyapunov exponent for cooperative random systems of linear ODEs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, in press. Available at arXiv:1305.6198.

[29] J. Mierczyński, W. Shen, The Faber–Krahn inequality for random/nonautonomous parabolic equations, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **4** (2005), no. 1, 101–114. **MR 2126280 (2006b:35358)**

[30] J. Mierczyński, W. Shen, *Spectral theory for random and nonautonomous parabolic equations and applications*, Chapman Hall/CRC Monogr. Surv. Pure Appl. Math. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2008. **MR 2464792 (2010g:35216)**

[31] J. Mierczyński, W. Shen, Spectral theory for forward nonautonomous parabolic equations and applications. *Infinite Dimensional Dynamical Systems*, 57–99, Fields Inst. Commun., **64**. Springer, New York, 2013. **MR 2986931**

[32] J. Mierczyński, W. Shen, Principal Lyapunov exponents and principal Floquet spaces of positive random dynamical systems. I. General theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013), no. 10, 5329–5365. **MR 3074376**

[33] J. Mierczyński, W. Shen, Principal Lyapunov exponents and principal Floquet spaces of positive random dynamical systems. II. Finite-dimensional systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **404** (2013), no. 2, 438–458. **MR 3045185**

[34] M. Nishio, The uniqueness of positive solutions of parabolic equations of divergence form on an unbounded domain, *Nagoya Math. J.* **130** (1993), 111–121. **MR 1223732 (94f:35058)**

[35] S. Novo, R. Obaya, A. M. Sanz, Topological dynamics for monotone skew-product semiflows with applications, *J. Dynam. Differential Equations* **25** (2013), no. 4, 1201–1231.

[36] V. Y. Protasov, R. M. Jungers, Lower and upper bounds for the largest Lyapunov exponent of matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438** (2013), no. 11, 4448–4468. **MR 3034543**

[37] M. H. Protter, H. F. Weinberger, *Maximum principles in differential equations*, corrected reprint of the 1967 original. Springer, New York, 1984. **MR 0762825 (86f:35034)**

[38] N. Rawal, W. Shen, Criteria for the existence and lower bounds of principal eigenvalues of time periodic nonlocal dispersal operators and applications, *J. Dynam. Differential Equations* **24** (2012), no. 4, 927–954. **MR 3000610**

[39] J. B. T. M. Roerdink, The biennial life strategy in a random environment, *J. Math. Biol.* **26** (1988), no. 2, 199–215. **MR 0946177 (90i:92030)**

[40] S. J. Schreiber, Interactive effects of temporal correlations, spatial heterogeneity and dispersal on population persistence, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. B* **277** (2010), 1907–1914. (not covered in MR)

[41] E. Seneta, *Non-negative matrices and Markov chains*, revised reprint of the second (1981) edition, Springer Ser. Statist. Springer, New York, 2006. **MR 2209438**

[42] W. Shen, G. T. Vickers, Spectral theory for general nonautonomous/random dispersal evolution operators, *J. Differential Equations* **235** (2007), no. 1, 262–297. **MR 2309574 (2008d:35091)**

[43] W. Shen, X. Xie, On principal spectrum points/principal eigenvalues of nonlocal dispersal operators and applications. Available at arXiv:1309.4753.

[44] W. Shen, Y. Yi, *Almost automorphic and almost periodic dynamics in skew-product semiflows*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (1998), no. 647. **MR 1445493 (99d:34088)**

[45] S. Tuljapurkar, *Population dynamics in variable environments*, Lect. Notes in Biomath., **85**. Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1990. (not covered in MR)

[46] W. Walter, *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, translated from the German by L. Rosenblatt and L. Shampine, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., **55**. Springer, New York–Berlin, 1970. **MR 0271508 (42 #6391)**

[47] A. Wintner, Asymptotic integration constants, *Amer. J. Math.* **68** (1946), no. 4, 553–559. **MR 0018310 (8,272f)**